



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

NU

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/465,131	12/16/99	RAJAGOPALAN	S 65611

LSI LOGIC CORPORATION
1551 MCCARTHY BLVD
MILPITAS CA 95035

MMC2/0724

EXAMINER

GUADALUPE, Y

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2859

DATE MAILED: 07/24/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/465,131	RAJAGOPALAN ET AL.
	Examiner Yaritza Guadalupe	Art Unit 2859

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 May 2001.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

- 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ .
- 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____. 20) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1 and 4 - 5 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Admitted Prior Art [Hereinafter APA] in view of Doke (US 5,367,890).

APA discloses a thermal profiling device comprising a packaging substrate having an upper surface, and a semiconductor die having an active circuit surface secured directly to the upper surface of the packaging substrate. APA also discloses the semiconductor die including an active circuit surface having conductive bumps and the substrate including a plurality of bonding pads formed on the surface and where the semiconductor die is positioned on the substrate such that the conductive bumps are in electrical contact with the bonding pads. APA discloses the substrate and semiconductor die secured in place by a solder bond between the bumps and the bonding pads, securing the thermocouple in position.

APA does not disclose the thermocouple secured directly to the active circuit surface of the semiconductor die as stated in claim 1.

With respect to claim 1 : Doke discloses a system comprising a thermocouple array (See Column 5, lines 33 – 42) secured directly to the active circuit surface for measuring and controlling the interface temperature between surfaces (36, 38). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a thermocouple secured directly to the active circuit surface of the semiconductor die in order to avoid damages due to over heating / over cooling that may affect the overall quality of the circuit.

3. Claim 6 is finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Admitted Prior Art [Hereinafter APA] in view of Doke (US 5,367,890) and further in view of Lemoine et al. (US 5,585,577).

APA discloses a device as stated in paragraph 2 above.

APA does not discloses the thermocouple secured directly to the active circuit surface of the semiconductor die, and the opening passing through the second opposite surface and through the first surface of the packaging substrate as stated in claim 6.

Art Unit: 2859

With respect to claim 6 : Doke discloses a system comprising a thermocouple array (See Column 5, lines 33 – 42) secured directly to the active circuit surface for measuring and controlling the interface temperature between surfaces (36, 38). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a thermocouple secured directly to the active circuit surface of the semiconductor die in order to avoid damages due to over heating / over cooling that may affect the overall quality of the circuit.

Regarding claim 7 : Lemoine et al. discloses an apparatus having a temperature sensor (32) inserted through a hole / opening (40) in the substrate (10) to locate the sensor directly to a surface / interface to be measured. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an opening through the substrate for inserting the thermocouple to be secured directly to the surface as taught by Lemoine et al. in the device disclosed by APA and Doke since Lemoine is teaching an alternate way to positioned the thermocouple and no reason has been given by APA and Doke for not doing so, and in order to provide a mechanism to obtain the real temperature of the semiconductor die.

4. Claims 2 – 3 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Admitted Prior Art [Hereinafter APA] in view of Doke (US 5,367,890), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Hayes (US 5,681,757).

APA and Doke do not discloses the thermocouple secured using an adhesive comprising epoxy as stated in claims 2 and 3.

Regarding claims 2 and 3 : Hayes discloses a process where an adhesive (44), epoxy (See Column 8, lines 30 – 34), is used on the surface of a substrate (48) for attaching a die (30). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an adhesive as taught by Hayes in the apparatus disclosed by Hembree et al. in order to provide a mechanical protection for the thermocouple and provide an electrical conductor as well as a bonding mechanism.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Epstein et al (US 4,812,050) discloses a system comprising temperature sensors (12, 14) bonded on a substrate (16) by an adhesive (20) for measuring the temperature in the interface. Houser et al. (US 6,120,179) discloses an apparatus comprising a substrate (10) including a plurality of dielectric layers (12, 14, 16, 18, 19) and a thermal film (22) for recording the temperature of the heat transferred during the process. Still et al. (US 6,092,926) discloses a device comprising a substrate (301) and a plurality of temperature sensors (303, 313) attached directly on the surface of the substrate.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yaritza Guadalupe whose telephone number is (703)305 -5676. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F.F. Gutierrez can be reached on (703) 308-3875. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7722 for regular communications and (703)308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Y. Guadalupe
July 19, 2001


DIEGO F.F. GUTIERREZ
SUPERVISOR PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800